

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,458	08/21/2003	Mark Bland	7156-136	8007
7590 03/09/2004			EXAMINER	
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			CAMPBELL, KELLY E	
Bank One Center Suite 3700	er/Tower		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle			3618	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
••		''				
Office Action Summary	10/645,458 Examiner	BLAND, MARK				
<i></i>		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kelly E Campbell	3618	dress			
Period for Reply	ours on the cover shock with the c	on coponacine all	ar 000			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document			Stone			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 		ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	aton reprioduon (r 10	-1921			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,458

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,634,448 (Bland) in view of Patent No. (US 6,321,695). Yoo et al.

Claim 1 of the Bland ('448) patent is identical to claim 1 of the applicant's specification, except the applicant additionally claims "... a switch means for switching the fan between the standard and discharge directions as a function of radiator coolant temperature". You et al teaches a switch means or FCM (28) for changing the state a bidirectional fan as a function of the coolant temperature, see Column 2, lines 61-65 and Column 4, lines 55-60. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle invention with a switching means as claimed by Bland ('448) to include a "switching means" for changing the bi-directional fan state, as taught by Yoo et al, in order to provide a specific factor giving a timely,

automatic system for changing the fan direction and improving the overall efficiency of the cooling system.

Although the confliction claims are not identical they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim common structural limitations with minor variation in claim recitation resulting in no structural difference between the patent and the instant application.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Samejima et al (US 5,199,521) discloses a lawn mower having a radiator cooling system for an engine. Roach (US 5,960,899) discloses a radiator system and housing including a fan for a vehicle. Peter et al (US 5,947,219) discloses a lawn mower and radiator system. Fujikawa et al (US 4,862,981(discloses a lawn mower with radiator and fan configuration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly E Campbell whose telephone number is (703) 605-4264. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,458

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEQ XI

By John 3-7-4

Page 4